

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): **117-03-05**

2. Project Name: Pickett Creek Rd. (# 35-7-27) Chip Seal

3. County: Josephine

4. Project Sponsor: Jim Roper GPRA

5. Date: 5/29/02

6. Sponsors Phone # 541-471-6979

7. Sponsor's E-mail: jroper@or.blm.gov

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Pickett Creek

c. Legal Location:

Township 35 Range 7 Section(s) 27, 34 & 33

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

d. BLM District Medford

e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass

f. National Forest _____

g. Forest Service District _____

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ☐ Yes ☒ No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Coho salmon and steelhead trout will benefit by this project from reduced sediment reaching Pickett Creek. BLM will benefit with a better road surface and safer access. Road upgrade will reduce BLM maintenance saving precious dollars.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Chip Seal segments A, B and 0.5 mile of segment C on Pickett Creek Road (Road #35-7-27). This road is built along Pickett Creek (a coho, steelhead stream). The oil surface has degenerated to the point where several locations are hazardous to road users. Three accidents have occurred at the intersection of Rd. 35-7-27 and West Pickett County road.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then describe.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- ☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- ☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- ☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]
- ☐ Forest Health Improvement
- ☒ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]
- ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration
- ☒ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds
- ☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____ b. Total Miles: 2.00
- c. No. Structures: _____ d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): 50
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 10
- f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]:

15 days - 7/15/03

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) :

Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Road provides access to residences and public users. The road would be upgraded and renovated back to safer standards.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety. Public has expressed a concern.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment.

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No b. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☒ Yes ☐ No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers,
SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- ☒ Contract ☒ Federal Workforce
- ☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers
- ☒ Other (specify): Combination of contract or federal workforce.

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

**Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$48,400
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ _____ f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
- d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$1,500		\$1,500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$2,500		\$2,500
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,500			\$1,500
29. Contract Administration	\$3,500			\$3,500
30. Contract Cost		\$40,000		\$40,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$5,000	\$44,000		\$49,000
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$4,400		\$4,400
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$5,000	\$48,400	\$	\$53,400

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

BLM engineering and fish biologists will conduct condition surveys each year to determine ecological conditions.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The report will be filed with Contracting Officer who tracks local employment. This project is not a youth employment opportunity project. This project will be available for local employment opportunity.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Roads are monitored by the BLM's O&C permit system for haul on Public lands.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$300.00

